

UniODA vs. Cochran’s Q Test for Related Proportions: Measures of Effect Size

Paul R. Yarnold, Ph.D.

Optimal Data Analysis, LLC

Maximum-corrected (η^2_Q) and chance-corrected (\mathcal{H} and ESS) measures of effect size for the Q test are compared.

Berry, et al.¹ present a hypothetical example having $c = 2$ treatments (time 1 and time 2) and $n = 10$ subjects: at each time each subject voted “Pro” or “Con” (Table 1). Note this design has both inter-rater (data from multiple raters are pooled) and temporal (the tabled outcome is the result of a temporal comparison) characteristics.

Table 1: Hypothetical 2 x 2 Data Table

	<u>Time 2</u>	
<u>Time 1</u>	<u>Pro</u>	<u>Con</u>
Pro	5	2
Con	0	3

For these data the maximum-corrected measure of effect size that standardizes Q by its theoretical maximum value (Q_{max})—a function of n and c —is $\eta^2_Q = 0.20$.¹ This erroneous underestimate occurs because Q_{max} cannot be obtained in this example.¹

For these data the chance-corrected measure of effect size—an exact measure derived for Q —is $\mathcal{H} = 1.0$, indicating perfect inter-rater agreement.¹

While results in Table 1 are consistent with the definition of agreement by the Q statistic, they are inconsistent with the conceptualization of agreement as defined in the ODA para-

digm.^{2,3} In ODA the effect size corresponding to observed inter-rater or inter-device agreement, ESS , “...is zero under chance conditions, unity when agreement among the n subjects (raters, devices) is perfect, and negative under conditions of disagreement”.¹ These conditions correspond to $ESS = 0$ (chance), $ESS = 100$ (perfect agreement) and $ESS = -100$ (perfect disagreement).²⁻⁷ The UniODA model assessing level of agreement was obtained using the following UniODA² and MegaODA⁸⁻¹⁰ software syntax:

```

OPEN DATA;
OUTPUT qtest.out;
CATEGORICAL ON;
TABLE 2;
DIRECTIONAL < 1 2;
MCARLO ITER 25000;
DATA;
5 2
0 3
END DATA;
GO;

```

Here the chance-corrected measure of inter-rater agreement—an exact measure of agreement as defined above—is $ESS = 0.60$: a relatively strong effect.^{2,3}

References

¹Berry KJ, Johnston JE, Mielke PW (2007). An alternative measure of effect size for Cochran's *Q* test for related proportions. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 104, 1236-1242. DOI: 10.2466/PMS.104.3.1236-1242

²Yarnold PR, Soltysik RC (2005). *Optimal data analysis: A guidebook with software for Windows*. Washington, DC: APA Books.

³Yarnold PR, Soltysik RC (In Review). *Maximizing predictive accuracy*. Chicago, IL: ODA Books.

⁴Yarnold PR (2014). UniODA vs. kappa: Evaluating the long-term (27-year) test-retest reliability of the Type A Behavior Pattern. *Optimal Data Analysis*, 3, 14-16. URL: <http://optimalprediction.com/files/pdf/V3A5.pdf>

⁵Yarnold PR (2014). How to assess inter-observer reliability of ratings made on ordinal scales: Evaluating and comparing the Emergency Severity Index (Version 3) and Canadian Triage Acuity Scale. *Optimal Data Analysis*, 3, 42-49. URL: <http://optimalprediction.com/files/pdf/V3A15.pdf>

⁶Yarnold, PR (2014). How to assess the inter-method (parallel-forms) reliability of ratings made on ordinal scales: Evaluating and comparing the Emergency Severity Index (Version 3) and Canadian Triage Acuity Scale. *Optimal Data Analysis*, 3, 50-54. URL: <http://optimalprediction.com/files/pdf/V3A16.pdf>

⁷Yarnold PR (2015). Estimating inter-rater reliability using pooled data induces paradoxical confounding: An example involving Emergency Severity Index triage ratings. *Optimal Data Analysis*, 4, 21-23. URL: <http://optimalprediction.com/files/pdf/V4A6.pdf>

⁸Soltysik RC, Yarnold PR (2013). MegaODA large sample and BIG DATA time trials: Separating the chaff. *Optimal Data Analysis*, 2, 194-197. URL: <http://optimalprediction.com/files/pdf/V2A29.pdf>

⁹Soltysik RC, Yarnold PR (2013). MegaODA large sample and BIG DATA time trials: Harvesting the Wheat. *Optimal Data Analysis*, 2, 202-205. URL: <http://optimalprediction.com/files/pdf/V2A31.pdf>

¹⁰Yarnold PR, Soltysik RC (2013). MegaODA large sample and BIG DATA time trials: Maximum velocity analysis. *Optimal Data Analysis*, 2, 220-221. URL: <http://optimalprediction.com/files/pdf/V2A35.pdf>

¹¹Yarnold PR, Soltysik RC (2014). Discrete 95% confidence intervals for ODA model- and chance-based classifications. *Optimal Data Analysis*, 3, 110-112. URL: <http://optimalprediction.com/files/pdf/V3A26.pdf>

Author Notes

The study analyzed artificial data and was exempt from Institutional Review Board review. No conflict of interest was reported.

Mail: Optimal Data Analysis, LLC
6348 N. Milwaukee Ave., #163
Chicago, IL 60646
USA